

Evaluation of Regulation (EU) No 1025/2012 on European Standardisation

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

Introduction

This public consultation is being conducted as part of the evaluation of Regulation (EU) 1025/2012 in response to the EU strategy on standardisation presented by the European Commission (COM(2022) 31). The European Standardisation System (ESS) provides the framework for requesting EU standards and standardisation deliverables in support of EU public policy and legislative needs, covering a wide range of industrial ecosystems.

The evaluation assesses the performance of the ESS in the evolving landscape of technical standardisation. It determines whether the existing framework is adequate and capable of delivering standards and technical specifications to support EU policy and legislative needs, while also maintaining global relevance.

Purpose and scope

The objective of this public consultation is to gather insights from a range of stakeholders with direct or indirect involvement in the ESS. It will look into various aspects of the ESS, including its achievements, efficiency, impact, relevance, EU added value, and alignment with EU policies and instruments. The public consultation will also help in gathering qualitative and quantitative data underpinning these assessments. The public consultation will inform the assessment on the functioning of Regulation (EU) 1025/2012, the cornerstone of the ESS.

Your participation in this survey is a valuable contribution to the evaluation of the ESS and the Regulation. It will also help in identifying possible areas for improvement.

Data protection policy

Before you begin the questionnaire, please carefully review and accept the privacy statement. This statement provides essential information on the use and handling of the data you provide. Your privacy and data protection are of the utmost importance.

Thank you for your participation in this evaluation. We look forward to your valuable insights and contribution.

About you

* Language of my contribution

- Bulgarian
- Croatian
- Czech
- Danish
- Dutch
- English
- Estonian
- Finnish
- French
- German
- Greek
- Hungarian
- Irish
- Italian
- Latvian
- Lithuanian
- Maltese
- Polish
- Portuguese
- Romanian
- Slovak
- Slovenian
- Spanish
- Swedish

* I am giving my contribution as

- Academic/research institution
- Business association
- Company/business
- Consumer organisation
- EU citizen
- Environmental organisation
- Non-EU citizen
- Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
- Public authority

- Trade union
- Other

* First name

Frank

* Surname

KOOS

* Email (this won't be published)

koos@eurowindow.eu

If applicable, please select the sector corresponding to your primary activity or the activity you represent.

- agriculture, forestry and fishing
- mining and quarrying
- manufacturing
- electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply
- water supply; sewerage, waste management and remediation activities
- construction
- wholesale and retail trade
- transportation and storage
- accommodation and food service activities
- publishing, broadcasting, and content production and distribution activities
- telecommunication, computer programming, consulting, computing infrastructure and other information service activities
- financial and insurance activities
- real estate activities
- professional, scientific and technical activities
- administrative and support service activities
- public administration and defence; compulsory social security
- education
- human health and social work activities
- arts, sports and recreation
- other service activities

- activities of households as employers and undifferentiated goods – and service-producing activities of households for own use
- activities of extraterritorial organisations and bodies
- standardisation
- defence
- space

* Which category best describes your role within the European Standardisation System (ESS)?

- international standardisation body
- EU standardisation organisation
- representing societal stakeholders in line with the requirements outlined in Annex III of the Regulation
- national standardisation body
- national authority / market surveillance authority
- other national authority
- industry association
- company
- research institution
- none of the above

* Regarding standardisation, in what ways do you / does your organisation participate in the ESS?

*Please select all that apply.

- participation in standardisation activities at the EU level.
- participation in standardisation activities at the national level.
- direct use of standards
- use of standards for public procurement
- inform(s) others about standards
- other (please specify)
- none of the above

* Organisation name

255 character(s) maximum

EuroWindowdoor AISBL

* Organisation size

- Micro (1 to 9 employees)
- Small (10 to 49 employees)
- Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- Large (250 or more)

Transparency register number

Check if your organisation is on the transparency register. It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

29749561729-18

* Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

This list does not represent the official position of the European institutions with regard to the legal status or policy of the entities mentioned. It is a harmonisation of often divergent lists and practices.

- | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Afghanistan | <input type="radio"/> Djibouti | <input type="radio"/> Libya | <input type="radio"/> Saint Martin |
| <input type="radio"/> Åland Islands | <input type="radio"/> Dominica | <input type="radio"/> Liechtenstein | <input type="radio"/> Saint Pierre and Miquelon |
| <input type="radio"/> Albania | <input type="radio"/> Dominican Republic | <input type="radio"/> Lithuania | <input type="radio"/> Saint Vincent and the Grenadines |
| <input type="radio"/> Algeria | <input type="radio"/> Ecuador | <input type="radio"/> Luxembourg | <input type="radio"/> Samoa |
| <input type="radio"/> American Samoa | <input type="radio"/> Egypt | <input type="radio"/> Macau | <input type="radio"/> San Marino |
| <input type="radio"/> Andorra | <input type="radio"/> El Salvador | <input type="radio"/> Madagascar | <input type="radio"/> São Tomé and Príncipe |
| <input type="radio"/> Angola | <input type="radio"/> Equatorial Guinea | <input type="radio"/> Malawi | <input type="radio"/> Saudi Arabia |
| <input type="radio"/> Anguilla | <input type="radio"/> Eritrea | <input type="radio"/> Malaysia | <input type="radio"/> Senegal |
| <input type="radio"/> Antarctica | <input type="radio"/> Estonia | <input type="radio"/> Maldives | <input type="radio"/> Serbia |
| <input type="radio"/> Antigua and Barbuda | <input type="radio"/> Eswatini | <input type="radio"/> Mali | <input type="radio"/> Seychelles |
| <input type="radio"/> Argentina | <input type="radio"/> Ethiopia | <input type="radio"/> Malta | <input type="radio"/> Sierra Leone |
| <input type="radio"/> Armenia | <input type="radio"/> Falkland Islands | <input type="radio"/> Marshall Islands | <input type="radio"/> Singapore |
| <input type="radio"/> Aruba | <input type="radio"/> Faroe Islands | <input type="radio"/> Martinique | <input type="radio"/> Sint Maarten |

- Australia
- Austria
- Azerbaijan
- Bahamas
- Bahrain
- Bangladesh
- Barbados
- Belarus
- Belgium
- Belize
- Benin
- Bermuda
- Bhutan
- Bolivia
- Bonaire Saint Eustatius and Saba
- Bosnia and Herzegovina
- Botswana
- Bouvet Island
- Brazil
- British Indian Ocean Territory
- British Virgin Islands
- Brunei
- Bulgaria
- Burkina Faso
- Fiji
- Finland
- France
- French Guiana
- French Polynesia
- French Southern and Antarctic Lands
- Gabon
- Georgia
- Germany
- Ghana
- Gibraltar
- Greece
- Greenland
- Grenada
- Guadeloupe
- Guam
- Guatemala
- Guernsey
- Guinea
- Guinea-Bissau
- Guyana
- Haiti
- Heard Island and McDonald Islands
- Honduras
- Mauritania
- Mauritius
- Mayotte
- Mexico
- Micronesia
- Moldova
- Monaco
- Mongolia
- Montenegro
- Montserrat
- Morocco
- Mozambique
- Myanmar/Burma
- Namibia
- Nauru
- Nepal
- Netherlands
- New Caledonia
- New Zealand
- Nicaragua
- Niger
- Nigeria
- Niue
- Norfolk Island
- Slovakia
- Slovenia
- Solomon Islands
- Somalia
- South Africa
- South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands
- South Korea
- South Sudan
- Spain
- Sri Lanka
- Sudan
- Suriname
- Svalbard and Jan Mayen
- Sweden
- Switzerland
- Syria
- Taiwan
- Tajikistan
- Tanzania
- Thailand
- The Gambia
- Timor-Leste
- Togo
- Tokelau

- Burundi
- Cambodia
- Cameroon
- Canada
- Cape Verde
- Cayman Islands
- Central African Republic
- Chad
- Chile
- China
- Christmas Island
- Clipperton
- Cocos (Keeling) Islands
- Colombia
- Comoros
- Congo
- Cook Islands
- Costa Rica
- Côte d'Ivoire
- Croatia
- Cuba
- Curaçao
- Cyprus
- Czechia
- Hong Kong
- Hungary
- Iceland
- India
- Indonesia
- Iran
- Iraq
- Ireland
- Isle of Man
- Israel
- Italy
- Jamaica
- Japan
- Jersey
- Jordan
- Kazakhstan
- Kenya
- Kiribati
- Kosovo
- Kuwait
- Kyrgyzstan
- Laos
- Latvia
- Lebanon
- Northern Mariana Islands
- North Korea
- North Macedonia
- Norway
- Oman
- Pakistan
- Palau
- Palestine
- Panama
- Papua New Guinea
- Paraguay
- Peru
- Philippines
- Pitcairn Islands
- Poland
- Portugal
- Puerto Rico
- Qatar
- Réunion
- Romania
- Russia
- Rwanda
- Saint Barthélemy
- Saint Helena
Ascension and
Tristan da Cunha
- Tonga
- Trinidad and Tobago
- Tunisia
- Türkiye
- Turkmenistan
- Turks and Caicos Islands
- Tuvalu
- Uganda
- Ukraine
- United Arab Emirates
- United Kingdom
- United States
- United States
Minor Outlying
Islands
- Uruguay
- US Virgin Islands
- Uzbekistan
- Vanuatu
- Vatican City
- Venezuela
- Vietnam
- Wallis and Futuna
- Western Sahara
- Yemen
- Zambia

- Democratic Republic of the Congo
- Lesotho
- Saint Kitts and Nevis
- Zimbabwe
- Denmark
- Liberia
- Saint Lucia

* To what extent are you aware of Regulation (EU) 1025/2012 on European Standardisation and its main provisions?

- to a large extent
- to a moderate extent
- to a small extent
- not at all
- I don't know

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. **For the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association', 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published.**

Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

* Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the [personal data protection provisions](#)

General questions

To what extent has Regulation (EU) 1025/2012 achieved its general objectives?

	to a large extent	to a moderate extent	to a small extent	not at all	I don't know
* reduce the time taken by the standardisation process for standards developed at the request of the Commission	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* ensure that SMEs and societal stakeholders are adequately represented in the standardisation process, especially for standards developed at the request of the Commission	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* broaden the use of ICT standards and thus enhance interoperability through a more integrated European public procurement market for ICT products and services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* remove ambiguities in the previous legal framework related to the former co-existence of three different legal instruments on EU standardisation (Decisions 87/95/EEC and 1673/2006/EC and Directive 98/34/EC)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

* Could you please explain your response in detail?

EuroWindowor is not of the opinion that the backlog for hENs is due to flaws of 1025/2012, but rather connected to the interface between ESO and EC. EuroWindowor believes this is mitigated through the introduction of the CPR Acquis process but further stable templates and agreed timelines for all involved stakeholders are still needed.

* To what extent has the process defined by the Regulation facilitated the identification of ICT technical specification for public procurement referencing, and made the overall public procurement process more efficient?

- to a large extent
- to a moderate extent
- to a small extent
- not at all
- I don't know.

Could you please explain your response in detail?

Information and Communications Technologies are of less importance for EuroWindowor.

In your opinion, where has Regulation (EU) 1025/2012 provided most added value (compared with not having this legal framework in place)?

*Please select 'yes', 'no' or 'I don't know' for each item.

	Yes	No	I don't know
* facilitation of conformity assessment procedures in the internal market via the development of harmonised standards	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* facilitation of product compliance in the EU	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* removal of trade barriers	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* reduction of transaction costs	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* increased level of interoperability	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* increased adoption of a common technical language in the EU market	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* increased opportunities to introduce products and services into the internal market	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* development of EU standards with global signalling effect	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* facilitated alignment of EU and international standards	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* other (please specify)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Please specify.

To what extent are the provisions of the Regulation suitable for addressing the wider strategic objectives, as outlined in the 2022 EU strategy on standardisation (COM(2022) 31)?

	to a large extent	to a moderate extent	to a small extent	not at all	I don't know
* the Regulation contributes to the development of future market needs, particularly as regards the establishment of new value chains for a resilient, green and digital internal market	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* the Regulation contributes to the development of standards when there is an urgent market need	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* the Regulation contributes to the development of services standards	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

* the Regulation allows integrity, inclusiveness and accessibility in the ESS, including fair representation of all societal stakeholders	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* the Regulation helps EU stakeholders take a prominent role in global standards-setting	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* the Regulation contributes to the promotion of EU democratic values, including at global level	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* the Regulation contributes to ensuring that cutting-edge research and innovation inputs are provided in the standards-development process	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Specific questions: Speed and timeliness

To what extent are you satisfied with the development time of EU harmonised standards?

*Rate your level of agreement with the following statements.

	strongly disagree	disagree	neither agree nor disagree	agree	strongly agree	I don't know.
* the time taken to develop harmonised standards is efficient	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* the collaborative standard development does not unnecessarily extend the duration	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* the standards are developed in a time frame that allows timely implementation in relevant industries	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* the duration of the development process provides ample time for clear communication and preparation for implementation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* there is sufficient time for thorough feedback and revisions during the standard development process	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* compared with other standard-setting processes, the duration for developing harmonised standards is satisfactory	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

<p>* the time taken to develop harmonised standards is consistent with bringing innovation to the market</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
--	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	----------------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

Could you please explain your response in detail?

Frequent changes and adjustments of guidance and templates, particularly for product standards of construction products, delay the process as it requires additional time-consuming modifications and leads to new discussions. Sufficient time must be provided for the standardisation committee to be able to develop the standards by consensus and at a high technical level. If time is to be saved, this must happen during the formal process steps, such as translation or the initiation of surveys and votings.

Due to EOTA route for innovative construction products there is not an issue with bringing innovative products on the market in a timely manner.

How important are the following aspects of the governance of the ESS in accelerating the development of harmonised standards?

*Please rate the level of importance of the following processes.

	not at all important	slightly important	moderately important	very important	extremely important	I don't know.
* coordination between ESOs, NSBs and the Commission (including collaboration on drafting standards)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* the clarity of the Commission's strategic objectives for the European Standardisation formulated through the annual EU work programme on EU standardisation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* societal stakeholder engagement and participation in standardisation activities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* the process of standardisation requests	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* the process of standards-development	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* the process of citation of standards-deliverables	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* the availability and use of EU financing	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

To what extent do you perceive barriers within the current governance of the ESS that affect the speed of harmonised standard development?

*Please rate your level of agreement with the following statements.

	strongly disagree	disagree	neither agree nor disagree	agree	strongly agree	I don't know
* there are additional obligations and administrative delays that hinder a speedy development of harmonised standards	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* the internal procedures within the European standardisation organisations are burdensome	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* the additional requirements from the Commission to allow the citation of harmonised standards are too burdensome	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* poor communication and coordination among stakeholders within the system leads to a slow standard development process	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* insufficient allocation of resources (such as funding, personnel and information) within systems impedes the rapid development of harmonised standards	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* the lack of technical experts leads to a slow standards-development process	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* the absence of clear and decisive leadership and responsibility in the standards-development process is an impediment and leads to a slower process of developing harmonised standards	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* the discrepancy between policy and stakeholder needs for the standards-deliverable leads to a slower process	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Specific questions: Competitiveness of European businesses

To what extent do you agree/disagree with the following statements about EU standards and standardisation deliverables' impact on in the competitiveness of EU businesses within the internal market?

*Please rate your level of agreement with the following statements.

	strongly disagree	disagree	neither agree nor disagree	agree	strongly agree	I don't know
* European standards and standardisation deliverables facilitate cross-border activities and trade in the internal market	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* European standards and standardisation deliverables facilitate the market deployment of innovative technologies	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* European standards and standardisation deliverables reduce production costs for companies	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* European standards and standardisation deliverables reduce compliance costs for companies	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* European standards and standardisation deliverables increase interoperability of components and products	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* European standards and standardisation deliverables facilitate access to the EU market for SMEs	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* European standards and standardisation deliverables enhance companies' growth (e.g. market share)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* European standards and standardisation deliverables enhance companies' productivity	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Specific questions: Support to EU policy and legislation

In your view, how effective is the Regulation in developing European standards in support of EU legislation and policies?

*Please rate the impact for each of the following goals.

	very high	high	moderate	low	very low	I don't know
* in response to new policies and legislation supporting standardisation	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* in ensuring timely delivery of requested standards and standardisation deliverables	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* in anticipating strategic future market needs in standardisation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* in improving product safety	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* in improving sustainability	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* in fostering interoperability between ICT systems	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* in ensuring adherence to EU democratic values	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Inclusiveness of the European Standardisation process

To what extent do you believe that Regulation (EU) 1025/2012 has ensured the engagement and effective participation of the following stakeholders?

	strongly disagree	disagree	neither agree nor disagree	agree	strongly agree	I don't know
* SMEs and start-ups	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* societal stakeholders	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* the research/academic community	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Member States' public authorities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

In your opinion, what is the level of representation and engagement in the development of the following types of standards?

*Please rate the impact of each of the following types of standards.

	very high	high	moderate	low	very low	I don't know.

* standards for services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* standards for products	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* standards to improve interoperability	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Specific questions: Competitiveness of European businesses at global level

To what extent do you agree/disagree with the following statements about European standards and standardisation deliverables' impact on to the competitiveness of EU businesses at the global level?

*Please rate your level of agreement with the following statements.

	strongly disagree	disagree	neither agree nor disagree	agree	strongly agree	I don't know
* European standards and standardisation deliverables facilitate market access outside the EU/EEA	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* European standards and standardisation deliverables facilitate the establishment of business partnerships around the globe	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* European standards and standardisation deliverables facilitate innovation in EU businesses	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* European standards and standardisation deliverables enhance the cost-competitiveness of EU businesses	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* European standards and standardisation deliverables improve the price-competitiveness of EU businesses	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Specific questions: Policy coherence

Can you identify any new policy area where the ESS should improve its presence?

*Please select 'yes', 'no', 'I don't know' for each item.

	Yes	No	I don't know
--	-----	----	--------------

* artificial intelligence	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* quantum computing	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* blockchain and distributed ledger technologies	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* the Internet of Things (IoT)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* 5G and future telecommunication networks	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* autonomous vehicles	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* biotechnology and gene editing	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* renewable energy technologies	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* nanotechnology cybersecurity	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* smart cities technologies	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* digital health	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* sustainable manufacturing and the circular economy	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* space technologies, in-orbit services and commercial spaceflight	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* robotics	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* new materials	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* defence	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
* other (please specify)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Please specify.

In your view, what are the barriers preventing the ESS from extending its reach into these technical areas?

*Please rate your level of agreement with the following statements.

	strongly disagree	disagree	neither agree nor disagree	agree strongly	agree	I don't know
lack of experts to run new ESO technical committees	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
lack of financial resources to run new ESO technical committees	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
lack of interest of industry or other stakeholders in providing experts	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

lack of cooperation with other specialised standards-setting bodies	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
lack of consensus between the different actors of the ESS	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
national interests in developing national standards	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
primacy of international standards	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
existence of other standard-developing bodies	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
timespan to develop and adopt EU standards	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
other (please specify)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Final section

Considering the need for the ESS to adapt to a rapidly changing environment and support the EU's strategic goals, what action areas do you consider essential?

*Please rate your level of agreement with the following statements.

	strongly disagree	disagree	neither agree nor disagree	agree	Strongly agree	I don't know
future standardisation needs and expediting the standard development process to spur innovation and prevent others from gaining a competitive edge	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
promoting stakeholder involvement and inclusivity in order to ensure standards meet market and consumer demands	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
improving cooperation, coordination and communication between standardisation bodies in the EU	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
raising awareness of the benefits of standardisation for competitiveness and innovation and sustainability	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

adapting the ESO/NSB network organisation and procedures for future needs, especially in technology convergence	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
---	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	----------------------------------	-----------------------



In addition to the responses and comments already provided in response to this questionnaire, please use the space below for any additional remarks you wish to make.

Generally the foundation of 1025/2012 is ok and do not need to change. The main challenges/problems related to developing in a timely manner harmonized standards for construction products will be solved via the new CPR - however better, timely and stable guidance for stakeholders involved in writing standards meeting the requirements for citation is still needed.

You can upload any document in support of your replies to this survey here.

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

I agree to be contacted for more in-depth discussions regarding the ESS.

*If you answer 'yes', we might contact you using the email address you provided at the beginning of the survey.

Yes

No

Contact

GROW-H3@ec.europa.eu